

Manufacturing Optimization - Sample Data

Dataset Overview

This dataset contains manufacturing process data from a fictional electronics assembly plant over six months. The data includes machine performance metrics, production rates, quality control results, downtime incidents, and resource utilization information.

Data Files

1. production_metrics.csv

date,shift,production_line,units_planned,units_produced,throughput_rate,cy cle_time,oee_score

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_A, 500, 478, 39.8, 1.4, 0.87

2025-01-01, Afternoon, Line_A, 500, 492, 41.0, 1.3, 0.89

2025-01-01,Night,Line_A,450,429,35.8,1.5,0.84

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_B, 550, 517, 43.1, 1.3, 0.85

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_B,550,531,44.3,1.2,0.88

2025-01-01,Night,Line_B,500,465,38.8,1.4,0.83 2025-01-02,Morning,Line_A,500,485,40.4,1.4,0.88 2025-01-02,Afternoon,Line_A,500,496,41.3,1.3,0.90

• • •

Columns:

- date: Date of production
- shift: Shift (Morning, Afternoon, Night)
- production_line: Manufacturing line identifier
- units_planned: Target production quantity
- units_produced: Actual production quantity
- throughput_rate: Units produced per hour
- cycle_time: Average time to produce one unit (minutes)
- oee_score: Overall Equipment Effectiveness (0-1 scale)

2. quality_control.csv

date,shift,production_line,batch_id,units_inspected,defects_found,defect_ra te,rework_units,scrap_units,defect_categories

2025-01-01,Morning,Line_A,BA001,100,3,0.03,2,1,"soldering:1,alignment:2, component:0"

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_A,BA002,100,2,0.02,2,0,"soldering:0,alignment :1,component:1"

2025-01-01,Night,Line_A,BA003,100,4,0.04,3,1,"soldering:2,alignment:1,co mponent:1"

2025-01-01,Morning,Line_B,BB001,100,4,0.04,3,1,"soldering:1,alignment:2, component:1"

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_B,BB002,100,3,0.03,2,1,"soldering:1,alignment :1,component:1"

•••

Columns:

- date: Date of inspection
- shift: Shift (Morning, Afternoon, Night)
- production_line: Manufacturing line identifier
- batch_id: Unique batch identifier
- units_inspected: Number of units inspected
- defects_found: Number of defects detected
- defect_rate: Proportion of defective units
- rework_units: Units that can be fixed and reprocessed
- scrap_units: Units that must be discarded

 defect_categories: Breakdown of defect types (format: "category:count")

3. downtime_incidents.csv

date,shift,production_line,incident_id,start_time,end_time,duration_minutes ,category,reason,maintenance_type

2025-01-01,Morning,Line_A,INC001,08:45,09:15,30,Equipment,"Conveyor belt failure",Corrective

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_B,INC002,14:30,15:00,30,Planned,"Scheduled maintenance",Preventive

2025-01-01,Night,Line_A,INC003,01:15,02:00,45,Equipment,"Sensor calibration",Corrective

2025-01-02,Morning,Line_B,INC004,10:30,10:45,15,Operator,"Shift change delay",None

2025-01-02,Afternoon,Line_A,INC005,16:00,17:30,90,Equipment,"Robot arm repair",Corrective

•••

Columns:

- date: Date of incident
- shift: Shift (Morning, Afternoon, Night)
- production_line: Manufacturing line identifier
- incident_id: Unique incident identifier

- start_time: Time when downtime began (HH:MM)
- end_time: Time when production resumed (HH:MM)
- duration_minutes: Total downtime duration
- category: Type of downtime (Equipment, Materials, Operator, Planned)
- reason: Specific reason for downtime
- maintenance_type: Type of maintenance if applicable (Corrective, Preventive, Predictive, None)

4. resource_utilization.csv

date,shift,production_line,labor_hours,direct_labor_hours,indirect_labor_hours,materials_consumed_kg,energy_kwh,compressed_air_m3,water_m3

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_A, 40, 32, 8, 120, 350, 45, 2.5

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_A,40,34,6,125,360,48,2.6

2025-01-01,Night,Line_A,35,28,7,110,320,42,2.3

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_B, 45, 36, 9, 135, 380, 50, 2.8

2025-01-01,Afternoon,Line_B,45,38,7,140,385,52,2.9

•••

Columns:

• date: Date of production

- shift: Shift (Morning, Afternoon, Night)
- production_line: Manufacturing line identifier
- labor_hours: Total labor hours
- direct_labor_hours: Hours spent directly on production
- indirect_labor_hours: Hours spent on support activities
- materials_consumed_kg: Raw materials used (kg)
- energy_kwh: Electricity consumption (kWh)
- compressed_air_m3: Compressed air usage (cubic meters)
- water_m3: Water consumption (cubic meters)

5. machine_performance.csv

date,shift,production_line,machine_id,operational_hours,idle_time_hours,te mperature_celsius,vibration_mm_s2,power_consumption_kwh,maintenanc e_status

2025-01-01,Morning,Line_A,MA001,7.5,0.5,35.2,2.3,120.5,Normal

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_A, MA002, 8.0, 0.0, 36.8, 2.5, 145.2, Normal

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_A, MA003, 7.0, 1.0, 34.9, 2.1, 105.8, Alert

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_B, MB001, 7.8, 0.2, 36.5, 2.4, 135.7, Normal

2025-01-01,Morning,Line_B,MB002,8.0,0.0,37.2,2.6,150.3,Normal

2025-01-01, Morning, Line_B, MB003, 7.2, 0.8, 35.8, 2.7, 118.2, Warning

Columns:

- date: Date of operation
- shift: Shift (Morning, Afternoon, Night)
- production_line: Manufacturing line identifier
- machine_id: Unique machine identifier
- operational_hours: Hours machine was running
- idle_time_hours: Hours machine was idle
- temperature_celsius: Average operating temperature
- vibration_mm_s2: Vibration level (mm/s²)
- power_consumption_kwh: Electricity used (kWh)
- maintenance_status: Machine health status (Normal, Alert, Warning, Critical)

6. inventory_levels.csv

date,material_id,material_name,opening_stock,received,consumed,adjust ments,closing_stock,min_stock_level,reorder_level,lead_time_days

2025-01-01,RM001,PCB Boards,1200,500,650,0,1050,500,800,7

2025-01-01,RM002,Microchips,3500,0,1200,-15,2285,1000,1800,14

2025-01-01,RM003,Connectors,8000,2000,2500,0,7500,3000,5000,5

2025-01-01,RM004,Resistors,25000,0,5000,0,20000,8000,12000,3 2025-01-01,RM005,Capacitors,15000,10000,6000,-50,18950,5000,8000,3 ...

Columns:

- date: Date of inventory record
- material_id: Unique material identifier
- material_name: Description of material
- opening_stock: Quantity at start of day
- received: Quantity of materials received
- consumed: Quantity used in production
- adjustments: Inventory adjustments (+/-)
- closing_stock: Quantity at end of day
- min_stock_level: Minimum stock threshold
- reorder_level: Level at which to reorder
- lead_time_days: Days required for new supplies

Sample Manufacturing Optimization KPIs

1. Production Efficiency Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE)	72%	75%	78%	85%	75%	Improvi ng
Production Yield	88%	90%	92%	95%	90%	Improvi ng
Throughput Rate (units/hour)	42.5	45.8	48.2	55.0	45.0	Improvi ng
Cycle Time (minutes)	8.5	7.8	7.2	6.5	7.5	Improvi ng
Changeover Time (minutes)	45	40	35	30	38	Improvi ng
Production Plan Adherence	82%	85%	87%	95%	85%	Improvi ng
Machine Utilization	78%	80%	82%	85%	80%	Improvi ng
Labor Efficiency	85%	87%	89%	92%	88%	Improvi ng
Production Capacity Utilization	75%	78%	80%	85%	78%	Improvi ng

Schedule Attainment	88%	90%	92%	95%	90%	Improvi
						ng

2. Quality Control Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
First Pass Yield	85%	87%	89%	95%	88%	Improvi ng
Defect Rate	3.2%	2.8%	2.5%	1.5 %	2.8%	lmprovi ng
Scrap Rate	2.5%	2.2%	1.9%	1.0 %	2.0%	lmprovi ng
Rework Rate	4.8%	4.2%	3.8%	3.0 %	4.0%	lmprovi ng
Customer Complaint Rate	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4 %	0.7%	Improvi ng
Returned Products Rate	1.2%	1.0%	0.8%	0.5 %	0.9%	lmprovi ng
Quality Control Inspection Pass Rate	92%	94%	95%	98%	94%	lmprovi ng

Process Capability Index (Cpk)	1.25	1.32	1.38	1.50	1.33	lmprovi ng
Statistical Process Control Adherence	85%	88%	90%	95%	88%	lmprovi ng
Quality Audit Score	82%	85%	87%	90%	85%	Improvi ng

3. Equipment Performance Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Mean Time Between Failures (hours)	175	195	210	250	200	Improvi ng
Mean Time to Repair (hours)	4.2	3.8	3.5	2.5	3.5	Improvi ng
Machine Availability	88%	90%	92%	95%	90%	Improvi ng
Breakdown Frequency (per month)	12	10	8	5	9	Improvi ng
Planned Maintenance Compliance	85%	88%	90%	95%	90%	lmprovi ng

Preventive Maintenance Ratio	65%	68%	72%	80%	70%	lmprovi ng
Machine Setup Efficiency	78%	82%	85%	90%	82%	Improvi ng
Equipment Failure Rate	3.8%	3.5%	3.2%	2.5 %	3.5%	Improvi ng
Machine Performance Rate	85%	87%	89%	92%	88%	Improvi ng
Asset Utilization	72%	75%	78%	82%	75%	lmprovi ng

4. Supply Chain & Inventory Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Inventory Turnover	8.5	9.2	9.8	12.0	9.5	lmprovi ng
Inventory Accuracy	92%	94%	95%	98%	94%	Improvi ng
Raw Material Stock Days	25	22	20	15	21	lmprovi ng
Finished Goods Stock Days	18	16	15	12	15	lmprovi ng

On-time Delivery from Suppliers	88%	90%	92%	95%	90%	Improvi ng
Supplier Quality Rating	85%	87%	89%	92%	88%	Improvi ng
Perfect Order Rate	82%	85%	87%	90%	85%	lmprovi ng
Material Shortages (incidents/month)	15	12	10	5	12	Improvi ng
Lead Time Variance	±12 %	±10 %	±8%	±5%	±10%	Improvi ng
Stockout Frequency	3.5%	3.0%	2.5%	1.0 %	2.8%	Improvi ng

5. Maintenance & Reliability Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Planned vs Emergency Maintenance Ratio	65:3 5	68:3 2	72:2 8	80:2 0	70:30	Improvi ng
Preventive Maintenance Completion Rate	85%	88%	90%	95%	88%	Improvi ng

Maintenance Cost as % of Asset Value	2.8%	2.6%	2.5%	2.2 %	2.5%	Improvi ng		
Mean Time to Maintain (hours)	3.8	3.5	3.2	2.5	3.5	Improvi ng		
Maintenance Backlog (hours)	485	420	385	300	400	Improvi ng		
Maintenance Labor Utilization	75%	78%	80%	85%	80%	Improvi ng		
Maintenance Schedule Compliance	82%	85%	87%	92%	85%	Improvi ng		
Equipment Uptime	92%	93%	94%	95%	93%	Improvi ng		
Maintenance Inventory Turnover	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.5	3.5	Improvi ng		
Critical Equipment Reliability	95%	96%	97%	98%	96%	Improvi ng		
6 Operational Cost Metrics								

6. Operational Cost Metrics

Metric	Q1	Q2	Q3	Targ	Industry	Trend
	2024	2024	2024	et	Benchmark	

Cost per Unit	\$12.8 5	\$12.4 0	\$12.1 0	\$11. 50	\$12.25	Improvi ng
Manufacturing Cost Ratio	68%	66%	65%	62%	65%	Improvi ng
Labor Cost per Unit	\$4.25	\$4.10	\$3.95	\$3.7 5	\$4.00	Improvi ng
Energy Cost per Unit	\$1.85	\$1.75	\$1.68	\$1.5 0	\$1.70	Improvi ng
Maintenance Cost per Unit	\$0.95	\$0.90	\$0.85	\$0.7 5	\$0.85	Improvi ng
Overhead Cost per Unit	\$3.25	\$3.15	\$3.05	\$2.8 5	\$3.10	Improvi ng
Material Cost Variance	+3.5 %	+2.8 %	+2.2 %	±1.5 %	+2.5%	Improvi ng
Labor Cost Variance	+4.2 %	+3.5 %	+2.8 %	±2.0 %	+3.0%	Improvi ng
Overtime Cost Ratio	8.5%	7.8%	7.2%	5.0 %	7.5%	Improvi ng
Cost of Quality	3.2%	2.8%	2.5%	2.0 %	2.8%	Improvi ng

7. Resource Utilization Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Energy Consumption (kWh/unit)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.5	Improvi ng
Water Usage (m³/unit)	0.35	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.30	Improvi ng
Raw Material Utilization	88%	90%	91%	95%	90%	Improvi ng
Labor Utilization	85%	87%	88%	92%	88%	Improvi ng
Space Utilization	72%	75%	77%	82%	75%	lmprovi ng
Machine Capacity Utilization	78%	80%	82%	88%	80%	lmprovi ng
Compressed Air Consumption (m³/unit)	0.65	0.62	0.58	0.50	0.60	Improvi ng
Waste Recycling Rate	65%	68%	72%	80%	70%	Improvi ng
Carbon Footprint (kg CO₂/unit)	5.2	4.9	4.7	4.0	4.8	Improvi ng

Packaging Material	85%	87%	88%	92%	88%	Improvi
Efficiency						ng

8. Process Improvement Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Target	Industry Benchmar k	Trend
Improvement Projects Completed	12	15	18	25	15	Improvi ng
Cost Savings from Improvements	\$285, 000	\$325, 000	\$385, 000	\$500, 000	\$350,000	Improvi ng
Employee Suggestion Rate	1.2/e mp	1.5/e mp	1.8/e mp	2.5/e mp	1.5/emp	Improvi ng
Suggestion Implementation Rate	28%	32%	35%	45%	30%	Improvi ng
Kaizen Events Completed	6	8	10	12	8	Improvi ng
Six Sigma Projects Completed	3	4	5	8	4	Improvi ng

Process Improvement Training	75%	78%	82%	90%	80%	Improvi ng
Improvement ROI	3.2x	3.5x	3.8x	4.5x	3.5x	Improvi ng
Improvement Sustainability Rate	82%	85%	87%	92%	85%	Improvi ng
Lean Maturity Assessment	3.2/5	3.4/5	3.6/5	4.2/5	3.5/5	lmprovi ng

9. Workforce & Safety Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Safety Incident Rate	3.5	3.2	2.8	2.0	3.0	Improvi ng
Near Miss Reporting	28	35	42	50	35	Improvi ng
Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5	1.0	Improvi ng
Safety Training Completion	92%	94%	95%	98%	94%	lmprovi ng

Employee Turnover Rate	12%	11%	10%	8%	10%	Improvi ng
Absenteeism Rate	3.8%	3.5%	3.2%	2.5 %	3.5%	lmprovi ng
Employee Productivity	85%	87%	89%	92%	88%	Improvi ng
Skills Matrix Coverage	78%	82%	85%	90%	82%	lmprovi ng
Training Hours per Employee	12	14	16	20	15	lmprovi ng
Employee Engagement Score	3.6/5	3.8/5	4.0/5	4.5/5	3.8/5	lmprovi ng

10. Technology & Innovation Metrics

Metric	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Industry Benchmark	Trend
Automation Level	65%	68%	70%	80%	70%	Improvi ng
Digital Manufacturing Readiness	3.2/5	3.4/5	3.6/5	4.5/5	3.5/5	Improvi ng
Industry 4.0 Implementation	45%	48%	52%	70%	50%	Improvi ng

IoT Device Deployment	58%	62%	65%	80%	65%	Improvi ng
Data Analytics Maturity	2.8/5	3.0/5	3.2/5	4.0/5	3.0/5	Improvi ng
Technology Investment (% of revenue)	2.5%	2.8%	3.0%	3.5 %	2.8%	Improvi ng
New Product Introduction Success	78%	80%	82%	90%	82%	Improvi ng
R&D to Production Conversion	65%	68%	70%	80%	70%	Improvi ng
Process Technology Upgrades	8	10	12	15	10	Improvi ng
Technology Implementation ROI	2.8x	3.0x	3.2x	3.5x	3.0x	lmprovi ng

Manufacturing Process Maturity Assessment

Process Maturity by Functional Area

Functional Area	Process Maturity	Maturity Description	Key Improvement Areas	Priori ty
	Level			
	(1-5)			

Production Planning	3.8	Managed/Quanti tative	Demand Forecasting, Constraint Management	High
Quality Management	3.5	Defined/Manage d	Statistical Process Control, Root Cause Analysis	High
Maintenance	3.2	Defined/Manage d	Predictive Maintenance, Asset Management	Mediu m
Supply Chain	3.0	Defined	Supplier Integration, Inventory Optimization	High
Manufacturin g Operations	3.7	Managed	Process Standardization, Lean Implementation	Mediu m
Workforce Management	3.1	Defined	Skills Development, Cross-training	Mediu m
Engineering	3.4	Defined/Manage d	Design for Manufacturability, Knowledge Management	Mediu m

Continuous Improvement	3.6	Managed	Kaizen Culture, Problem-solving Methods	Mediu m
Technology & Automation	2.8	Defined	IoT Integration, Data Analytics	High
Health, Safety & Environment	3.8	Managed/Quanti tative	Proactive Safety Culture, Sustainability Initiatives	Low

Process Documentation & Knowledge Management

Category	Completi on Level	Curren cy	Accessi bility	Utilizati on	Priority for Improvemen t
Standard Operating Procedures	85%	78%	Medium	72%	High
Work Instructions	82%	75%	Medium	68%	High
Process Maps & Flows	75%	70%	Low	65%	Very High
Control Plans	80%	75%	Medium	70%	Medium

Training Materials	88%	82%	High	78%	Medium
Equipment Documentation	90%	85%	Medium	75%	Low
Quality Standards	92%	88%	High	82%	Low
Troubleshootin g Guides	78%	72%	Medium	68%	High
Process Performance Data	70%	65%	Low	60%	High
Best Practices Repository	65%	60%	Low	55%	Very High

Manufacturing Performance Indicators Dashboard

Executive KPI Summary

KPI	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Targ et	Statu s	Trend
Overall Equipment Effectiveness	72/10 0	75/10 0	78/10 0	85/1 00	On Track	Improvi ng
Production Yield	88%	90%	92%	95%	On Track	Improvi ng

Quality Compliance	92%	94%	95%	98%	On Track	Improvi ng
Delivery Performance	88%	90%	92%	95%	On Track	Improvi ng
Manufacturing Cost Ratio	68%	66%	65%	62%	On Track	Improvi ng
Inventory Turnover	8.5	9.2	9.8	12.0	Monito r	Improvi ng
Safety Performance	3.5	3.2	2.8	2.0	On Track	Improvi ng
Employee Productivity	85%	87%	89%	92%	On Track	Improvi ng
Process Improvement Impact	3.2/5	3.5/5	3.7/5	4.2/5	On Track	Improvi ng
Overall Manufacturing Score	74/10 0	77/10 0	79/10 0		On Track	Improvi ng
Performance by Produc	tion Lin	е				
Producti Q1 Q2			Targ S	tatu	Key Issu	les

Producti	Q1	Q2	Q3	Targ	Statu	Key Issue
on Line	2024	2024	2024	et	S	
	Score	Score	Score			

Assembly Line A	75/100	78/100	80/100	85/1 00	On Track	Changeover time, Minor stops
Assembly Line B	72/100	74/100	77/100	85/1 00	Monit or	Quality defects, Equipment reliability
Machining Cell 1	78/100	80/100	82/100	90/1 00	On Track	Tool wear, Setup time
Machining Cell 2	76/100	79/100	82/100	90/1 00	On Track	Material availability, Programming
Fabricatio n	70/100	74/100	77/100	85/1 00	Monit or	Material handling, Scheduling
Finishing Line	75/100	78/100	80/100	85/1 00	On Track	Process variability, Quality inspection
Packagin g Line 1	80/100	82/100	84/100	90/1 00	On Track	Material supply, Machine jams
Packagin g Line 2	72/100	75/100	78/100	85/1 00	On Track	Changeover efficiency, Label quality
Testing Station	76/100	79/100	82/100	88/1 00	On Track	Test cycle time, First pass yield

Overall	75/100	78/100	80/100	87/1	On	Cross-functional
Plant				00	Track	coordination

Performance Trend Analysis

Performance Category	12-Month Trend	Slo pe	Accelera tion	Seasonali ty	Forecast (Next Quarter)
OEE	Positive	+2.5 %	Stable	Q4 Slowdown	80/100
Quality Metrics	Positive	+2.0 %	Increasin g	Minimal	96/100
Production Output	Positive	+3.0 %	Stable	Q1 Ramp-up	93/100
Operational Efficiency	Positive	+2.8 %	Increasin g	Minimal	83/100
Maintenance Performance	Positive	+2.2 %	Stable	Q4 Preventiv e	85/100
Cost Management	Positive	+1.8 %	Stable	Q4 Pressure	82/100
Process Improvement	Positive	+2.5 %	Increasin g	Minimal	85/100

Safety Performance	Positive	+2.0 %	Stable	Weather Impact	88/100
Overall Performance	Positive	+2.4 %	Stable	Slight Q4 Dip	82/100

Resource Utilization & Capacity

Resource Utilization by Department

Departmen t	Utilizatio n Rate	Optimal Rate	Capacity Surplus/Defici t	Variabil ity	Trend
Assembly	85%	80%	-5% (Deficit)	Medium	Increasin g deficit
Machining	82%	75%	-7% (Deficit)	High	Increasin g deficit
Fabrication	78%	75%	-3% (Deficit)	Medium	Stable
Finishing	75%	75%	0% (Balanced)	Low	Stable
Packaging	88%	80%	-8% (Deficit)	Medium	Increasin g deficit
Maintenanc e	92%	80%	-12% (Deficit)	Medium	Increasin g deficit
Quality	85%	80%	-5% (Deficit)	Low	Stable

Materials	78%	75%	-3% (Deficit)	High	Stable
Engineerin g	90%	80%	-10% (Deficit)	Medium	Increasin g deficit
Production Support	82%	75%	-7% (Deficit)	Low	Stable

Capacity Planning & Forecasting

Resour ce Categor y	Current Capacit y	Utilized Capacit y	3-Mont h Forecas t	6-Mont h Forecas t	12-Mont h Forecas t	Action Plan
Assembl y Lines	150,000 units/mo nth	127,500 units/mo nth (85%)	135,000 units/mo nth needed	142,000 units/mo nth needed	155,000 units/mo nth needed	Shift optimizatio n, Line balancing
Machini ng Centers	85,000 hours/m onth	69,700 hours/m onth (82%)	72,000 hours/m onth needed	76,000 hours/m onth needed	85,000 hours/m onth needed	Equipment upgrades, Tool managem ent
Skilled Operator s	120 FTEs	102 FTEs (85%)	110 FTEs needed	118 FTEs needed	125 FTEs needed	Training program, Cross-train ing

Mainten ance Team	18 FTEs	16.5 FTEs (92%)	20 FTEs needed	22 FTEs needed	24 FTEs needed	Hiring plan, Contractor strategy
Quality Inspecto rs	25 FTEs	21 FTEs (85%)	25 FTEs needed	28 FTEs needed	30 FTEs needed	Automatio n, Training
Material Handler s	35 FTEs	27 FTEs (78%)	35 FTEs needed	38 FTEs needed	42 FTEs needed	Process improvem ent, Equipment
Enginee ring Support	15 FTEs	13.5 FTEs (90%)	16 FTEs needed	18 FTEs needed	20 FTEs needed	Hiring, Process standardiz ation
Wareho use Space	25,000 sq ft	21,000 sq ft (84%)	23,000 sq ft needed	25,000 sq ft needed	28,000 sq ft needed	Layout optimizatio n, Inventory managem ent
Testing Equipme nt	8,500 hours/m onth	7,225 hours/m onth (85%)	8,000 hours/m onth needed	8,500 hours/m onth needed	9,500 hours/m onth needed	Equipment upgrade, Test optimizatio n

Producti10 FTEs9 FTEs11 FTEs12 FTEs14 FTEsSoftwareon(90%)neededneededneededimplementPlanningation,Training